
From: McKinney, William C [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CD94A539C483494CA61EDE1D9BCF9AC1-WCMCKINNEY1]
Sent: 11/20/2017 10:07:07 PM
To: Tarr, Jeremy M [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=98859532088e4437968231eb6fef6b70-jmtarr1]
CC: Epstein, Charles A [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=9c894ea5967442088690ee45f421ae77-caepstein]; Munashe Magarira (Munashe.Magarira@nc.gov) [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=983206bb32ab4f62aadb38bd362b578f-mmagarira]
Subject: FW: [External] Atlantic Coast Pipeline - a few items of interest
Attachments: 100_237_LookBeforeLeap_LNG_whtpaper_03_web.pdf; NCUC request for rehearing ACP.pdf; Gas_Pipeline_Ratepayer_Report.pdf

Hey Jeremy,

You may already be in possession of the below, but wanted to flag for you just in case.

Kind regards,

wcm

From: Cassie Gavin [mailto:cassie.gavin@sierraclub.org]
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2017 2:53 PM
To: McKinney, William C <William.McKinney@nc.gov>
Subject: [External] Atlantic Coast Pipeline - a few items of interest

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Send all suspicious email as an attachment to report.spam@nc.gov.

Good afternoon,

Below and attached are a few documents and news having to do with the Atlantic Coast Pipeline that I think may be of interest to you.

- Last week the NC Utilities Commission filed a rehearing request (attached) with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline last week because the NCUC doesn't support the 14% return on equity that Duke and Dominion energy would get for building it. A relevant quote: "[T]he Certificate Order accepted ACP's proposed 14 percent ROE over the NCUC's arguments that that ROE was unsupported as applied to ACP. It also failed to address the NCUC's argument that, because FERC relies on the existence of just and reasonable tariff rates to provide the necessary check on a pipeline's market power when entering into negotiated rate agreements, that check will not exist if the recourse rates are overstated during that negotiation process."
- Also attached is a new Sierra Club report "Art of the Self-Deal: How Regulatory Failure Lets Gas Pipeline Companies Fabricate Need and Fleece Ratepayers" which is about the economics of a number of pipelines including the ACP. Link to report: http://priceofoil.org/content/uploads/2017/09/Gas_Pipeline_Ratepayer_Report.pdf
- And see this Washington Post article regarding the news that the ACP may be extended to SC and the possible exporting angle: https://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/all-opinions-are-local/wp/2017/10/09/is-dominion-already-planning-a-pipeline-expansion/?utm_term=.b3d690f194ed
- Regarding LNG exporting in general - Sierra Club's good (but somewhat dated) report on this: "Look Before the LNG Leap" - is attached and available here: <https://content.sierraclub.org/creative->

[archive/sites/content.sierraclub.org.creative-
archive/files/pdfs/100_237_LookBeforeLeap_LNG_whtpaper_03_web.pdf](http://archive/sites/content.sierraclub.org.creative-archive/files/pdfs/100_237_LookBeforeLeap_LNG_whtpaper_03_web.pdf)

Thanks for taking a look

,

--

Cassie Gavin, Director of Government Relations
Sierra Club - NC Chapter
cassie.gavin@sierraclub.org
19 W. Hargett Street, Suite 210
Raleigh, NC 27601
919.833.8467 x 104